## APPENDIX



## Figure 1

# Percentage of births to unmarried mothers, by age group 



Source: National Center for Health Statistics, Vital Statistics Reports, 2011.
Figure 2

## Births to unmarried women (Percent) by States - 2010

Data Provided by: National KIDS COUNT Program

Scale: 19\%-55\%

|  |  | $\longmapsto+$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | 41\% |  |
| Alabama | 42\% | $\square$ |
| Alaska | 38\% | $\square$ |
| Arizona | 45\% | $\square$ |
| Arkansas | 45\% | $\square$ |
| California | 40\% | $\square$ |
| Colorado | 24\% | $\square$ |
| Connecticut | 37\% | $\square$ |
| Delaware | 47\% | - |
| Florida | 47\% | $\square$ |
| Georgia | 46\% | - |
| Hawaii | 38\% | $\square$ |
| Idaho | 27\% | $\square$ |
| Illinois | 41\% | $\square$ |
| Indiana | 43\% | $\square$ |
| lowa | 34\% | - |
| Kansas | 38\% | $\square$ |
| Kentucky | 41\% | $\square$ |
| Louisiana | 53\% |  |
| Maine | 41\% | $\square$ |
| Maryland | 42\% | $\square$ |
| Massachusetts | 35\% | $\square$ |
| Michigan | 42\% | $\square \square$ |
| Minnesota | 33\% | $\square$ |
| Mississippi | 55\% |  |
| Missouri | 40\% | $\square$ |
| Montana | 36\% | $\square$ |
| Nebraska | 34\% | $\square$ |
| Nevada | 44\% | $\square$ |



Definitions: Births occurring to women who were unmarried at the time of the birth.More...
Data Source: Analysis of 1990-2010 Natality MicroData files from Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics.

## Figure 3

## Births to unmarried women (Percent) by City - 2010

Data Provided by: National KIDS COUNT Program

| Scale: 23\%-80\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\vdash$ |
| United States | 41\% |  |
| Albuquerque, NM | 49\% | $\square$ |
| Arlington, TX | 44\% | $\square$ |
| Atlanta, GA | 53\% | $\square$ |
| Austin, TX | 38\% | $\square$ |
| Baltimore, MD | 67\% | $\square$ |
| Boston, MA | 43\% | $\square$ |
| Charlotte, NC | 45\% | $\square$ |
| Chicago, IL | 51\% | $\square \square$ |
| Cleveland, OH | 78\% | $\square$ |
| Colorado Springs, CO | 25\% | $\square$ |
| Columbus, OH | 48\% | $\square \times$ |
| Dallas, TX | 54\% | $\square$ |
| Denver, CO | 26\% | $\square$ |
| Detroit, MI | 80\% |  |
| District of Columbia | 55\% | $\square$ |
| El Paso, TX | 46\% | $\square$ |
| Fort Worth, TX | 47\% | $\square$ |
| Fresno, CA | 54\% | $\square$ |
| Houston, TX | 49\% | $\square$ |
| Indianapolis, IN | 55\% | $\square$ |
| Jacksonville, FL | 49\% | $\square$ |
| Kansas City, MO | 50\% | - |
| Las Vegas, NV | 48\% | $\square$ |
| Long Beach, CA | 50\% | $\square$ |
| Los Angeles, CA | 51\% | $\square$ |
| Louisville, KY | 49\% | $\square$ |
| Memphis, TN | 73\% | $\square$ |
| Mesa, AZ | 44\% | $\square$ |
| Miami, FL | 59\% | - |
| Milwaukee, WI | 66\% | $\square$ |


| Minneapolis, MN | $44 \%$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nashville-Davidson, TN | $45 \%$ |  |
| New York, NY | $45 \%$ |  |
| Oakland, CA | $44 \%$ |  |
| Oklahoma City, OK | $47 \%$ |  |
| Omaha, NE | $42 \%$ |  |
| Philadelphia, PA | $65 \%$ |  |
| Phoenix, AZ | $53 \%$ |  |
| Portland, OR | $32 \%$ |  |
| Raleigh, NC | $38 \%$ |  |
| Sacramento, CA | $47 \%$ |  |
| San Antonio, TX | $48 \%$ |  |
| San Diego, CA | $30 \%$ |  |
| San Francisco, CA | $25 \%$ |  |
| San Jose, CA | $34 \%$ |  |
| San Juan, PR | $72 \%$ |  |
| Seattle, WA | $23 \%$ | 1 |
| Tucson, AZ | $47 \%$ |  |
| Tulsa, OK |  |  |
| Virginia Beach, VA |  |  |
| Wichita, KS |  |  |
| Defin |  |  |

Definitions: Births occurring to women who were unmarried at the time of the birth.More...
Data Source: Analysis of 1990-2010 Natality MicroData files from Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics.

Footnotes:Updated January 2013.
Data are provided for the 50 most populous cities according to the most recent Census counts. Cities for which data is collected may change over time.

Note: The District of Columbia, Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands are not included in maps and rankings because they are not states and therefore comparisons on many indicators of child well being are not meaningful

## Figure 4



## Figure 5

## Living Arrangements of Children - Categorized by Ethnicity



Proportion of children living with mother only by race/ethnicity. 1970-2011


Note: * Persons of Hispanic ethnicity can be of any race; however, most are white. Race proportions include persons of Hispanic ethnicity.
**The Current Population Survey methodology changed to more accurately reflect children's coresidence with their parents. This change is reflected in the estimates beginning in 2007, where two parent homes include all homes in which a child lives with both parents, married or unmarried (biological, step or adoptive). For more information please read: Improvements to data collection about families in CPS 2007.

## Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention

Figure 6

## TWO-PARENT FAMILIES

The percentage of children living with two parents has declined markedly in every state over the past decade, and in many urban areas, only 1 in 10 children has a father present. For an interactive map of the best and the worst neighborhoods when it comes to intact families, go to washingtontimes.com/fathers


Percent decline in families with two parents, 2000-2010


Figure 7

# Living Arrangements of Children - Categorized by Absent Fathers 


U.S. Census Bureau, America's Families and Living Arrangements: 2009

Figure 8

